

From: [Christine Heinrichs](#)
To: [BoardComment](#)
Subject: Public Comment June 13 2024
Date: Thursday, June 13, 2024 6:10:42 PM

Written comment for today.
Item 7A

PUBLIC COMMENT

The committee used district equipment, "test driving" it as President Gray said, for future board meetings, replacing AGP Video.

This is not a good idea. It will reduce public access to board meetings. I strongly oppose ending the district's relationship with AGP.

AGP does an excellent job of broadcasting, recording, and archiving board meetings. AGP's posts also provide the meeting agenda and timelines to the meeting, tying meeting times to agenda items.

Searching for the board discussion of a particular item is tedious and time-consuming without this feature. Eliminating it is not acceptable.

AGP offers reliable professional audio and video services. This is a significant value to public information and district transparency. The money spent on AGP is well spent for good value. Do not lose this important community benefit.

I encourage the board to continue recording and archiving board meetings with AGP. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT

At that same meeting, David Pierson raised a question about the transfer of \$253,000 from Water to Admin. It's a questionable, possibly illegal, transfer. Please investigate this transaction and discuss it in public at the June 13 board meeting.

The recent board decision to borrow \$680,000 for the water meter project rather than pay for the meter project out of more than \$2 million in reserves was also poor judgment. The rationale was to invest the Water Fund reserves rather than spend the money on district improvements. The slight difference in interest rates between the loan and the investment return is negligible, even acknowledged by Denise Fritz. I question whether there was any financial advantage, adding in the \$12,500 loan fees.

This is a service district, not an investment fund. The money in the Water Fund is there to pay for water improvements. Driving the district further into debt rather than managing income for service improvements is a dubious path.

Please consider the wisdom of these financial decisions. Thank you.

Item 7C

PUBLIC COMMENT

Eliminating the professional janitors who cleaned the restrooms cleaning and adding those chores to F&R staff is misplaced. F&R Manager Aguirre reported that the staff will not meet the district's July 15 deadline on weed abatement for district-owned lots. Please re-hire the professional janitors and allow Facilities & Resources staff to get on with their weed abatement work. By the way, poison oak is growing over the bridge on Main Street near the Old Grammar School. Whoever has responsibility for this, it's on Cambria to make this sidewalk safe for the public. This requires special handling. I talked to a young mother walking her baby over there. She did not know what poison oak looks like and had no idea what she was brushing past.

Item 7D

PUBLIC COMMENT

This kind of project was proposed in 2018, and took up a lot of meeting time until it was disclosed that the technology was not yet functioning. All Power Labs, the same company, did not send a representative to the meeting. Cambria may have more wood chips than the community can use, but it does not have enough to fuel a biomass co-generator, unless they plan to log the entire forest. Let's not fall for this a second time. Biomass generators are not carbon neutral. They are greenhouse gas emitters.

This is why Cambria needs a Climate Policy! Please read "Burning Trees: As the Biomass Industry Grows, Its Carbon Emissions Go Uncounted," https://therevelator.org/biomass-emissions-uncounted/?fbclid=IwZXh0bgNhZW0CMTEAAR3bIEI_WIV3ydFGRdVa0u5tJYcJzg_m3TsreqCLVd0uhLV-ALhEus3Mhlo_aem_AULuLVUew0dLn8nCBx5ESywmIBnDCjqD8WXua3k8llzo1V2Bk1ukSTVSLj8vruNjUstpUk_TmoPLkTbo2ytOuSA

--
Christine Heinrichs

From: [REDACTED]
To: [BoardComment](#)
Subject: Property Tax
Date: Friday, May 24, 2024 6:10:12 PM

Wouldn't be more economical and beneficial to allow, say, 100 more building permits than the current vacant land provides?

From: [Christine Heinrichs](#)
To: [BoardComment](#)
Subject: June 13 2024 CSD meeting Public Comment
Date: Saturday, June 15, 2024 11:07:04 AM

For Written Comment.
Item 7A Finance Committee Report:

PUBLIC COMMENT

The committee used district equipment, "test driving" it as President Gray said, for future board meetings, replacing AGP Video.

This is not a good idea. It will reduce public access to board meetings. I strongly oppose ending the district's relationship with AGP.

AGP does an excellent job of broadcasting, recording, and archiving board meetings. AGP's posts also provide the meeting agenda and timelines to the meeting, tying meeting times to agenda items.

Searching for the board discussion of a particular item is tedious and time-consuming without this feature. Eliminating it is not acceptable.

AGP offers reliable professional audio and video services. This is a significant value to public information and district transparency. The money spent on AGP is well spent for good value. Do not lose this important community benefit.

I encourage the board to continue recording and archiving board meetings with AGP. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT

At that same meeting, David Pierson raised a question about the transfer of \$253,000 from Water to Admin. It's a questionable, possibly illegal, transfer. Please investigate this transaction and discuss it in public at the June 13 board meeting.

The recent board decision to borrow \$680,000 for the water meter project rather than pay for the meter project out of more than \$2 million in reserves was also poor judgment. The rationale was to invest the Water Fund reserves rather than spend the money on district improvements. The slight difference in interest rates between the loan and the investment return is negligible, even acknowledged by Denise Fritz. I question whether there was any financial advantage, adding in the \$12,500 loan fees.

This is a service district, not an investment fund. The money in the Water Fund is there to pay for water improvements. Driving the district further into debt rather than managing income for service improvements is a dubious path.

Please consider the wisdom of these financial decisions. Thank you.

Item 7C PROS Committee report:

PUBLIC COMMENT

Eliminating the professional janitors who cleaned the restrooms cleaning and adding those chores to F&R staff is misplaced. F&R Manager Aguirre reported that the staff will not meet the district's July 15 deadline on weed abatement for district-owned lots. Please re-hire the professional janitors and allow Facilities & Resources staff to get on with their weed abatement work. By the way, poison oak is growing over the bridge on Main Street near the Old Grammar School. Whoever has responsibility for this, it's on Cambria to make this sidewalk safe for the public. This requires special handling. I talked to a young mother walking her baby over there. She did not know what poison oak looks like and had no idea what she was brushing past.

Item 7D R&I Committee Report: **PUBLIC COMMENT**

This kind of project was proposed in 2018, and took up a lot of meeting time until it was disclosed that the technology was not yet functioning. All Power Labs, the same company, did not send a representative to the meeting. Cambria may have more wood chips than the community can use, but it does not have enough to fuel a biomass co-generator, unless they plan to log the entire forest. Let's not fall for this a second time. Biomass generators are not carbon neutral. They are greenhouse gas emitters.

This is why Cambria needs a Climate Policy! Please read "Burning Trees: As the Biomass Industry Grows, Its Carbon Emissions Go Uncounted," https://therevelator.org/biomass-emissions-uncounted/?fbclid=IwZXh0bgNhZW0CMTEAAR3bIEI_WIV3ydFGRdVa0u5tJYeIzg_m3TsreqCLVd0uhLV-ALhEus3Mhlo_aem_AULuLJVUew0dLn8nCBx5ESywmIBnDCjqD8WXua3k8Ilzo1V2Bk1ukSTVSLj8vruNjUstpUk_TmoPLkTbo2ytOuSA

--
Christine Heinrichs